

TRUCKEE RIVER FUND

Enhancing and protecting our water resources

TRUCKEE RIVER FUND ADVISORY COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Friday November 17, 2023, 8:30 a.m.
Community Foundation of Northern Nevada
50 Washington Street, Suite 300
Reno, NV 89503

Meeting Via Teleconference and In-Person

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY ATTEND VIA THE WEB LINK, OR
TELEPHONICALLY BY CALLING THE NUMBER, LISTED BELOW.
NO PHYSICAL LOCATION IS BEING PROVIDED FOR THIS MEETING
(Be sure to keep your phones on mute, and do not place the call on hold)

Please click the link below to join the meeting:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/8785686516?pwd=K29WZlN1a0Q2Wm1YbnpIR111SzJUUT09>

Zoom Meeting ID: 878 568 6516

Password: CFNN

NOTES:

1. The announcement of this meeting has been posted in compliance with NRS 241.020(3) at: Truckee Meadows Water Authority (1355 Capital Blvd., Reno), at <https://truckeeriverfund.org/meetings/>, and NRS 232.2175 at State of Nevada Public Notice Website, <https://notice.nv.gov/>.
2. In accordance with NRS 241.020, this agenda closes three working days prior to the meeting. We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for persons who are disabled and wish to attend meetings. If you require special arrangements for the meeting, please call (775) 834-8002 at least 24 hours before the meeting date.
3. Staff reports and supporting material for the meeting are available on the Truckee River Fund website at <https://truckeeriverfund.org/meetings/> or you can contact Sonia Folsom at (775) 834-8002 or sfolsom@tmwa.com. Supporting material is made available to the general public in accordance with NRS 241.020(6).
4. The Committee may elect to combine agenda items, consider agenda items out of order, remove agenda items, or delay discussion on agenda items. Arrive at the meeting at the posted time to hear item(s) of interest.
5. Asterisks (*) denote non-action items.
6. Public comment is limited to three minutes and is allowed during the public comment periods. **To request to speak, please use the "raise hand" feature or press *9 to "raise your hand" and *6 to unmute/mute your microphone.** Pursuant to Directive 006, public comment, whether on action items or general public comment, may be provided without being physically present at the meeting by submitting written comments online by email sent to lrenda@nevadafund.org prior to the Committee opening the public comment period during the meeting. In addition, public comments may be provided by leaving a voicemail at (775)834-0255 prior to 4:00 p.m. on August 19th. Voicemail messages received will either be broadcast on the telephone call during the meeting or transcribed for entry into the record. Public comment is limited to three minutes and is allowed during the public comment periods. The Committee may elect to receive public comment only during the two public comment periods rather than each action item. Due to constraints of the videoconference system, public comment must be provided by voicemail, email, or online comment as indicated above.

1. Roll Call*
2. Public comment (limited to no more than three minutes per speaker)*
3. Approval of the agenda (**for possible action**)
4. Approve the August 18, 2023 summary meeting minutes (**for possible action**)
5. Welcome newly appointed TRF Advisory Committee members*
6. Review and approve revised RFP and evaluation criteria rubric (**for possible action**)
7. Review completed projects*
8. Review and approve the tentative 2024 meeting calendar (**for possible action**)
9. Committee and staff comments*
10. Next meeting: Friday February 16, 2024 at 8:30am (**for possible action**)
11. Public comment (limited to no more than three minutes per speaker)*
12. Adjournment*

MEETING MINUTES
(TRANSCRIPT SUMMARY)

TRUCKEE RIVER FUND ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING OF AUGUST 18, 2023

(Meeting via Teleconference and In-Person)

The following meeting minutes is a summary of the certified transcript for the Truckee River Fund Advisory Committee meeting held at 8:30 a.m., Friday, August 18, 2023, via Zoom and teleconference.

Those Present: Committee Members: Brian Bonnenfant, Chair; Jim Smitherman, Vice Chair; Bill Bradley, Mike Brisbin, Terri Svetich. Also: Lauren Renda, Community Foundation of Western Nevada; John Enloe, Sonia Folsom & Kara Steeland, TMWA; Sarah Ferguson, Council for TRF; Susan Merideth, TRF Minutes Recorder. Members of the Public: Audrey Bergmann (Sierra NV Journeys), Christi Cakiroglu (Consulting Solutions, OTR Board Member) Iris Jehle-Peppard (One Truckee River), Daniel Moss (City of Reno), Darcy Phillips (KTMB)

Agenda Item #1: Roll Call: Roll call was taken. A quorum was noted.

Agenda Item #2: Public comment: There was no public comment at this time.

Agenda Item #3: Approval of the agenda: Jim Smitherman motioned to approved the agenda for the August 18th meeting, and Bill seconded the motion. The agenda was unanimously approved.

Agenda Item #4: Approve the May Summary Meeting Minutes: Terri noted corrections to a name mentioned in the Minutes Summary. Bill Bradley motioned to approve the Meeting Minutes (Transcript Summary) for May 19, 2023 with the correction noted by Terri. Brian Bonnenfant seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved by the Committee.

Agenda Item #5: Approve the June Summary Meeting Minutes: Terri noted a misspelling in the Minutes Summary. Terri Svetich motioned to approve the Meeting Minutes (Transcript Summary) for June 22, 2023 with the spelling correction. Jim Smitherman seconded the motion and the Minutes were unanimously approved by the Committee.

Agenda Item #6: Fund balance report: There is \$800,000 in new funds from TMWA available or the upcoming fiscal year plus \$156,931 left over from the previous RFP for of \$956,931 in funding available to spend.

Agenda Item #7: Review grant proposals to Truckee River Funs and select projects to be recommended for funding:

The following grant proposals were considered and voted on by the group and approved, rejected/declined, or action taken as follows:

#280, Sierra Nevada Journeys: Watershed Education Initiative, amount requested \$35,933

Audrey Bergmann was present to discuss SNJ's proposal for the continuation of watershed education program from previous years. The full amount requested of \$35,933 was unanimously approved

#281, City of Reno: Lake Park Watershed Project (Floating Wetlands and Pollinator Revegetation Project, amount requested \$30,832

Daniel Moss from the Public Utilities Department was present to discuss PUD's collaborative project with Truckee Meadows Parks Foundation, Friends of Lake Park and One Truckee River to install floating vegetation islands to support nutrient cycling and pollution reduction at Lake Park pond, a terminal pond fed by agricultural and storm runoff. Overflow from the pond enters the Truckee River via Peavine Creek near the Arlington bridge. Included in the proposal is funding for STEM-based educational outreach with signage and educational materials, and One Truckee River has plans to develop a pollinator garden at the Park. The total request of \$30,832 was approved by the Committee

#282, Keep Truckee Meadows Beautiful: KTMB's 2024 Great Community Clean-Up, Truckee River Clean-Up, Truckee River Clean-Up, Adopt-A-River Program, and Community Education Program, amount requested \$91,360.32

Darcy Phillips, Executive Director of KTMB, presented their proposal to remove litter and invasive weeds along the Truckee River Corridor and tributaries and provide community education and outreach. This is a continuation of KTMB's Great Community Clean-Up and Truckee River Clean-Up efforts from previous years, but this year they will be adding back in a youth education component this year. The total request of \$91,360.32 was approved by the Committee.

#283, One Truckee River: Watershed Coalition Building, amount requested \$135,138

Iris Jehle-Peppard from One Truckee River requested funding to continue to lead, coordinate, and resource the OTR partnerships and to increase the public's understanding of the Truckee River, OTR River-Friendly Living practices, and efforts to protect the Truckee River. The total request of \$135,138 was unanimously approved by the Committee.

Mike Brisbin motioned to approve funding for all projects presented at the full amount requested, and Bill seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved by the Committee, for a total funding allocation of \$293,263.32

Project Advisors for the approved proposals were assigned as follows: #280, Jim Smitherman; #281, Mike Cameron; #282, Jim Smitherman; #283, Terri Svetich

Agenda Item #8: Review completed projects: *none*

Agenda Item #9: Committee and staff comments:

Members of the TRF Committee had a meeting with City Council Member Kristopher Dahir from the TMWA Board to discuss the evaluation process for funding projects. The TMWA Board suggested implementing a ranking system to improve consistency in evaluating projects and will provide the Committee with a proposed methodology for ranking. The TRF Committee will provide comment and make a decision on adopting a ranking system at the November meeting.

In previous meetings the TRF Committee discussed needing and MOU between the City of Reno and TNWA to fill the open seats on the Committee. This is incorrect. The agreement between the TRF Community Foundation and TMWA had expired and a new agreement was implemented earlier this year, which has delayed the appointment of new Members. The City Council still needs to add the vacancies to accept applications to a meeting agenda in order to appoint new TRF members to fill the current vacancies.

Agenda Item #10: Next meeting: November 17, 2023 at 8:30am; consideration for in-person meeting: Brian motioned for the next meeting to be held November 17, 2023 at 8:30am. Bill seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved by the Committee.

Agenda Item #10: Public comment: *none*

Agenda Item #11: Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 9:51 am. No action was taken.

Truckee River Fund Grant Priorities

Truckee Meadows Water Authority (TMWA) recommends that the Truckee River Fund (TRF) Advisory Committee (the “Committee”) give preference to well-supported, clearly drafted grant requests that consider substantial benefits to TMWA customers for projects and programs that mitigate substantial threats to water quality and the watershed, particularly those threats upstream or nearby water treatment and hydroelectric plant intakes.

- I. **Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS):** Projects/Programs that support the prevention or control of aquatic invasive species in the mainstem Truckee River, Lake Tahoe, other tributaries and water bodies in the Truckee River system.
- II. **Watershed Improvements:** Projects that reduce erosion or sediment, suspended solids, or total dissolve solids (TDS) discharges, nutrients, industrial contaminants, or bacterial pollutants to the River. Projects or programs that are located within 303d (impaired waters) sections of the River should be considered, both in California and Nevada. Innovative techniques should be encouraged.
- III. **Local Stormwater Improvements:** Projects that demonstrably mitigate storm water run-off due to urbanization of the local watershed. Priority should be given to those improvement projects in close proximity to TMWA’s water supply intakes and canals and which will improve the reliability and protect the quality of the community’s municipal water supply.
- IV. **Re-Forestation and Re-Vegetation Projects:** Projects to restore forest and upland areas damaged by fire and historical logging operations, and to improve watershed resiliency in drought situations. Projects/programs in this category should be given a high priority due to urbanization of the watershed and increased susceptibility of the urban and suburban watershed to wildfire.
- V. **Support to Rehabilitation of Local Tributary Creeks and Drainage Courses:** Projects to support water quality improvement in creeks and tributaries to the Truckee River.
- VI. **Stewardship and Environmental Awareness:** Support to clean-up programs and the development and implementation of educational programs relative to water, water quality and watershed protection that do not fall clearly into the one of the above-mentioned categories.

Notes:

- For proposals related to weed control/eradication, contact Lauren Renda at the Community Foundation of Northern Nevada for additional criteria at lrenda@nevadafund.org.
- For proposals in the Lake Tahoe Basin, the Truckee River Fund (TRF) typically only funds proposals related to Priority I and VI.

Grantee Requirements & Project Evaluation Criteria

GRANTEE REQUIREMENTS

To be eligible for funding, grantees must adhere to the following requirements:

- Funds are to be used and/or disbursed exclusively for the charitable uses and purposes.
- The Fund shall be used exclusively for projects that protect and enhance water quality or water resources of the Truckee River, or its watershed.
- Grantees may include 501(c)(3) organizations and governmental entities. Any grants to governmental entities must be made exclusively for public benefit purposes.
- All grantees will be required to sign a grant agreement stipulating their agreement to all applicable terms, conditions, and reporting requirements.
- Organizations or entities sponsoring proposals are prohibited from ex parte communications with members of the Committee regarding such proposals while those proposals are pending before the Committee, and such communications may be grounds for rejecting a proposal.

TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S DISCRETION

For each proposal submitted and recommended by the Committee the TMWA Board of Directors has absolute discretion to:

- Accept or reject any proposal;
- Accept a proposal on the condition that certain modifications be made;
- Assess proposals as they see fit, without in any way being obligated to select any proposal;
- Determine whether proposals satisfactorily meet the evaluation criteria set out in this RFP;
- Reject proposals with or without cause, whether based on the evaluation criteria set out above or otherwise.

PERFORMANCE GUIDELINES

- To maintain eligibility to receive grant funds, each Charitable Beneficiary must comply at all times with the following requirements:
 1. Must be exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Code;
 2. Shall use all Fund distributions toward projects that are appropriate and legal public expenditures;
 3. Must provide financial details and/or reports of their organizations upon request;
 4. Must submit quarterly reports;
 5. Must not use any Fund distributions for political contributions or political advocacy;
 6. Must either implement the projects, activities, and/or programs for which they received Fund distributions within six months of the date in which such distributions are received or by date(s) as agreed upon in the grant acceptance agreement, or must return all such distributions to the Community Foundation of Northern Nevada forthwith;
 7. Must provide the Community Foundation of Northern Nevada a report detailing the completion of their projects, activities, and/or programs; and
 8. Must sign an agreement regarding their compliance with the qualifications hereof.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Applications are evaluated according to the following criteria and point values (total of 100 points).

1. RELEVANCE OF PROPOSAL TO THE TRF PROGRAM – 30 points
 - a. Address TRF grant priorities – Does the project address at least one of the TRF grant priorities, as described at the beginning of the RFP?
 - b. Meet multiple objectives – Does the project meet multiple grant priorities?
 - c. Public benefit of the project – Does the project help TMWA protect its sources of drinking water?
 - d. Benefit to TMWA customers – Is there a direct benefit to TMWA customers?
 - e. Project location – Is the project located upstream of one of TMWA's water treatment plants?

2. QUALITY OF PROJECT DESIGN – 20 points
 - a. Appropriateness of selected project methods – Do the proposed project strategies make sense to address the watershed and/or water quality concern(s) outlined by the applicant?
 - b. Thoroughness of project design – Is the project design adequately detailed to ensure the desired outcome(s)?
 - c. Sustainability of project – Will the benefits of the project continue after the grant funds are expended?
 - d. Project longevity – If ongoing operation & maintenance (O&M) is required to maintain benefits, is it funded?
 - e. Consideration of existing research – Does the project consider existing research, planning efforts, or assessments related to the Truckee River watershed?

3. MEASURABILITY OF PROJECT SUCCESS – 20 points
 - a. Identification of project benchmarks or milestones – Has the applicant described the steps necessary to complete the project?
 - b. Demonstrated ability to measure the results of the project – Does the project have adequate measurable outcomes to evaluate project success?
 - c. Benefits expected from a successful project – Are there clear goals that will be obtained on project completion?
 - d. Readiness to begin project – Is the grant applicant ready to undertake and complete the project?

4. EFFECTIVENESS OF ORGANIZATION – 15 points
 - a. Qualifications of applicant for the proposed project – Does the applicant have adequate experience and credentials to perform the work described in the application?
 - b. Collaborative efforts – Are there partner organizations supporting or benefiting from the project?
 - c. Demonstrated ability of applicant to manage and complete the project – Has the applicant successfully completed projects similar to the one proposed? If previously funded by TRF, has the applicant met performance requirements and completed projects successfully?

5. ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED BUDGET – 15 points
 - a. Availability and status of matching funds – Does the project provide a minimum of 25

- percent match in cash and/or in-kind services? If the project is downstream of the USGS Vista gage, is the 25 percent match requirement met using cash match?
- b. Total project cost relative to benefits – Is the project cost reasonable given the expected project outcome(s)?
 - c. Appropriateness of budget – Are the costs presented in the budget adequately detailed and do they seem reasonable? Is the project under the 25 percent indirect/overhead expense limit?

Narrative Requirements

1.) Specific project goals and measurable outcomes and how you will measure and report them.

All projects are required to have measurable outcomes.

2.) Describe the project location.

Include site map and aerial photos if applicable/possible as an attachment.

3.) Project Description

4.) Grant priorities

Explain how the proposed project advances the TRF's specific grant priorities.

5.) Permitting

Provide a permitting schedule for your project along with your plan for getting the required permits and decision documents. Be sure to include the cost of permitting/decision documents as a line item in your budget.

6.) Future Land Use

List any known or foreseeable zoning, land use, or development plans that may affect your proposed project.

7.) If future phases of the project will be needed, identify anticipated sources of funding.

8.) Identify the principals involved in leading or coordinating the project or activity.

9.) Number of staff positions involved in project.

10.) Number of volunteers involved in project and an estimated number of volunteer hours.

11.) Timeline of Project

List key dates and include project milestones. Note: Be realistic in your estimate of dates and milestones. List any

factors that may cause a delay in implementing and/or completing the project.

**Note: Funding will not be provided for work performed prior to grant approval.*

12.) What factors will indicate a successful project?

13.) Collaboration

List partnerships or collaborations with other entities in relation to your proposal, if any.

Grant Match

*All applicants must provide **a match of at least 25 percent** for dollars requested. The match may be with funding and/or in-kind services.*

For larger grant requests, priority will be given to projects that significantly leverage the grant with funding from other sources.

*For grant requests for projects downstream of the Vista USGS gage, the 25 percent match requirement must be met using **cash match**.*

Total grant match to be provided.

Cash

For the cash portion, is the funding already being held by the applicant for this project?

In-kind

**Note: Provide an itemized breakdown of volunteer match in your budget with rationale.*

Description of matching funds/in-kind donations.

Attachments

Nonprofits must submit:

Last audited financial statements if your organization has been audited

List of Board of Directors

Copy of agency's IRS 501(c)(3) Tax Determination Letter

Copy of the agency's most recent IRS Form 990

*Please submit as one PDF document

*No late attachments will be accepted

Governmental entities must submit:

Departmental budget in lieu of audited financial statements

Project Budget

Provide detail on each line-item expenditures and show which funds are committed and which have been requested to be paid for by the Truckee River Fund grant, and which will be paid for with in-kind services. Other sources of funding should be provided. Explain status of other funding if not in hand. If project is to be implemented in phases, please separate budget into each phase. **A sample budget template is provided below.**

Notes:

- Indirect/overhead expenses cannot exceed 25 percent; TRF may fund indirect/overhead up to 25% based on availability of funds.
- Applicants should be prepared to provide reduced budgets during the review of applications by the TRF Advisory Committee when funds are limited.
- Indirect funding will not be affected if reducing grant awards.

Grants from the Truckee River Fund are paid on a reimbursable basis for actual expenditures only. Craft your budget in such a way that requests for reimbursement correspond to the original budget.

Sample Budget Template

Budget Item Description	\$/unit	Quantity	Quantity Type	TRF Funding	Other Funders	Match	Total Cost
Salaries and Wages							
Project Manager	X	X	Hours				
Program Manager	X	X	Hours				
Engineer 2	X	X	Hours				
Fringe Benefits	X	X					
Full-Time Employees	X	X	Hours				
Volunteers	X	X					
Contractual Construction							
Planning	X	X	Contract				
Design	X	X	Contract				
Engineering Services during Design	X	X	Contract				
Environmental / Permitting	X	X	Contract				
Project / Program Management							
Construction Management	X	X	Contract				
Materials	X	X	Contract				
Construction Contract	X	X	Contract				
Total Direct Costs							
Indirect Costs/Overhead (≤25% of budget)	X						
Total Project Costs							

**Truckee River Fund
Scoring Rubric for Grant Proposals**

This scoring rubric is based on the evaluation criteria outlined in the Truckee River Fund (TRF) Request for Proposals. The TRF Advisory Committee will use this rubric to guide its deliberations.

Project Name _____

Organization _____

Overall Scoring

Relevance to TRF Program	_____	/30
Project Design	_____	/20
Measurability of Success	_____	/20
Effectiveness of Organization	_____	/15
Adequacy of Proposed Budget	_____	/15
Total	_____	/100

Recommendation

_____ I am in support of awarding grant funding to this project.
 _____ I am in support of awarding grant funding to this project with funding changes to _____.
 _____ I am not in support of awarding grant funding to this project.

RELEVANCE TO TRF PROGRAM	Did not meet criteria	Minimally met criteria	Mostly met criteria	Fully met criteria	Total
Address TRF grant priorities – Does the project address at least one of the TRF grant priorities, as described at the beginning of the RFP?	0	3	5	7	
Meet multiple objectives – Does the project meet multiple grant priorities?	0	2	4	6	
Public benefit of the project – Does the project help TMWA protect its sources of drinking water?	0	2	4	6	
Benefit to TMWA customers – Is there a direct benefit to TMWA customers?	0	2	4	6	
Project location – Is the project located upstream of one of TMWA’s water treatment plants?	0	1	3	5	
				Total	/30

PROJECT DESIGN	Did not meet criteria	Minimally met criteria	Mostly met criteria	Fully met criteria	Total
Appropriateness of selected project methods – Do the proposed project strategies make sense to address the watershed and/or water quality concern(s) outlined by the applicant?	0	1	3	4	
Thoroughness of project design – Is the project design adequately detailed to ensure the desired outcome(s)?	0	1	3	4	
Sustainability of project – Will the benefits of the project continue after the grant funds are expended?	0	1	3	4	
Project longevity – If ongoing O&M is required to maintain benefits, is it funded?	0	1	3	4	
Consideration of existing research – Does the project consider existing research, planning efforts, or assessments related to the Truckee River watershed?	0	1	3	4	
				Total	/20

MEASURABILITY OF SUCCESS	Did not meet criteria	Minimally met criteria	Mostly met criteria	Fully met criteria	Total
Identification of project benchmarks or milestones – Has the applicant described the steps necessary to complete the project?	0	2	4	5	
Demonstrated ability to measure the results of the project – Does the project have adequate measurable outcomes to evaluate project success?	0	2	4	5	
Benefits expected from a successful project – Are there clear goals that will be obtained on project completion?	0	2	4	5	
Readiness to begin project – Is the grant applicant ready to undertake and complete the project?	0	2	4	5	
				Total	/20

EFFECTIVENESS OF ORGANIZATION	Did not meet criteria	Minimally met criteria	Mostly met criteria	Fully met criteria	Total
Qualifications of applicant for the proposed project – Does the applicant have adequate experience, resources and credentials to perform the work described in the application?	0	2	4	5	
Collaborative efforts – Are there partner organizations supporting or benefiting from the project?	0	2	4	5	
Demonstrated ability of applicant to manage and complete the project – Has the applicant successfully completed projects similar to the one proposed? If previously funded by TRF, has the applicant met performance requirements and completed projects successfully?	0	2	4	5	
				Total	/15

ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED BUDGET	Did not meet criteria	Minimally met criteria	Mostly met criteria	Fully met criteria	Total
Availability and status of matching funds – Does the project provide a minimum of 25 percent match in cash and/or in-kind services? If the project is downstream of the USGS Vista gage, is the 25 percent match requirement met using cash match?	0	2	4	5	
Total project cost relative to benefits – Is the project cost reasonable given the expected project outcome(s)?	0	2	4	5	
Appropriateness of budget – Are the costs presented in the budget adequately detailed and do they seem reasonable? Is the project under the 25 percent indirect/overhead expense limit?	0	2	4	5	
				Total	/15



**COMMUNITY
FOUNDATION**
of Northern Nevada

TRUCKEE RIVER FUND GRANTEE EVALUATION

Prepared by:	Dave Stanley	Date: 11/11/23
Project Title:	TRF #270 Youth Watershed Education and Protection Project	
Grantee:	Great Basin Outdoor School	

1. Grantee used the funding for activities specified in the grant proposal.

- Completed and exceeded activities specified in proposal
 Completed activities specified in proposal
 Partially completed activities specified in proposal

NOTES:

2. Grantee deemed their project a success.

- Exceeded expectations
 Met expectations
 Met some but not all expectations

NOTES:

3. Grantee met their stated goals.

- Met and exceeded stated goals
 Met stated goals
 Met some but not all stated goals

NOTES: **Because TRF excluded the Tahoe science camps from the original proposal, the number of students reached via the Spring and Summer Day Camps was greatly reduced (158 versus 680 total if those camps were included). The proposal called for 500 students to be reached. Excluding the Tahoe science camps reduced the original request for funding from \$13,211 to \$10,411. On the positive side, the gain in knowledge and connection to the watershed that the students who participated in the camps exhibited was in excess of the 75% target in the proposal.**



**COMMUNITY
FOUNDATION**
of Northern Nevada

TRUCKEE RIVER FUND GRANTEE EVALUATION

Prepared by:	Terri Svetich	11/13/2023
Project Title:	One Truckee River Partnership and engagement with the public	
Grantee:	One Truckee River	

1. Grantee used the funding for activities specified in the grant proposal.

- Completed and exceeded activities specified in proposal
 Completed activities specified in proposal
 Partially completed activities specified in proposal

NOTES: **One Truckee River has engaged with the community and public in numerous forums.**

2. Grantee deemed their project a success.

- Exceeded expectations
 Met expectations
 Met some but not all expectations

NOTES: **OTR WAS ABLE TO CONDUCT MORE OUTREACH AND INCREASE PARTNER COMMUNICATION.**

3. Grantee met their stated goals.

- Met and exceeded stated goals
 Met stated goals
 Met some but not all stated goals

NOTES: **Met and exceeded goals**

Truckee River Fund**2024 Calendar**

January 5	RFP distributed
January 18	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
January 29	Draft Agenda to Executive Committee
February 2	RFP/Grant applications due
February 21	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
February 16	8:30 a.m. TRF Advisory Committee meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review project proposals • Schedule Fieldtrip(s)
March 20	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
April 17	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
April 29	Draft Agenda to Executive Committee
May 17	8:30 a.m. TRF Advisory Committee meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discuss nominations for officers (even years) ▪ Review completed projects
June 20	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
June 21	RFP distributed
TBD	TMWA picnic
July 17	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
July 26	RFP/Grant applications due
July 29	Draft Agenda to Executive Committee
August 16	8:30 a.m. TRF Advisory Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review project proposals
August 21	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
September 18	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
October 28	Draft Agenda to Executive Committee
November 15	8:30 a.m. TRF Advisory Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review/Approve 2024 calendar • Review completed projects
November 21	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting
December 12	10 a.m. TMWA Board meeting